

From: William Fanelli - QQC-C <william.fanelli@gsa.gov>
Date: Fri, 10 Jun 2022 15:18:57 -0400
Message-ID: <CACqVxtHXXFzVRhTm1JSiKhGt3LTZ_o0TELxD8cK5+fRGqzFR0w@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: **Done with Issio report**
To: (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
Cc: Jennifer Carlson - TAC-C-C <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: dcb35364727ad7d7925e250552528ac2

Sending here because it would be gone by the time you check the chat

Bill

--



FedRAMP

Bill Fanelli
FedRAMP PMO Support (Sage Consulting Group)
[FedRAMP](#) | [TTS](#) | [GSA](#)
m: (b) (6)
e: william.fanelli@gsa.gov

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2022 06:54:49 -0400
Message-ID: <CADu0P4yKsK1ZKJxGDE-TYbi2vCyhk-b25_TxdMojLYE7xuE8tg@mail gmail com>
Subject: **Fwd Friendly Check-In - Issio's FedRAMP Review**
From: Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>
To: (b) (6) - QQC-C <(b) (6)@gsa.gov>
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 16ebdb807f71238b1c550e0d32fa2a72

See below -- they did two major changes as a result of our review -- (b) (4)

jjc

----- Forwarded message -----
From: (b) (6) - QQC-C <(b) (6)@gsa.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 23, 2022 at 4:33 PM
Subject: Re: Friendly Check-In - Issio's FedRAMP Review
To: (b) (6) <(b) (6)@issio.net>
Cc: (b) (6) <(b) (6)@issio.net>, (b) (6) <(b) (6)@issio.net>, Solomon-Jackson, Charles L. <Charles.Solomon-Jackson@va.gov>, Gonzalez, Giovanni, VBASPT (IMC) <Giovanni.Gonzalez@va.gov>, DeLeo, Martin <Martin.DeLeo@va.gov>, VA FedRAMP PMO <va.fedramp.pmo@va.gov>, (b) (6) <(b) (6)@mbstechnologies.com>, (b) (6) <(b) (6)@mbstechnologies.com>, Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>, (b) (6) <(b) (6)@gsa.gov>, (b) (6) <(b) (6)@gsa.gov>, (b) (6) <(b) (6)@gsa.gov>, William Hamilton - QQC <john.hamilton@gsa.gov>, (b) (6) <(b) (6)@imcva.com>

Thank you for these regular updates (b) (6) it sounds like you're making good progress We'll definitely ask questions if/when we have any!

Yours Truly,
(b) (6)
-
(b) (6) | FedRAMP Agency Reviewer
(b) (6) @gsa.gov

On Wed, Feb 23, 2022 at 4:09 PM (b) (6) <(b) (6)@issio.net> wrote:
Hello,

It has been about a month since I last sent an update regarding our remaining open items, so I wanted to follow up again with our recent progress

- (b) (4)
- (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)

As always, please let me know if you have any questions

Best,
(b) (6)

On Tue, Jan 18, 2022 at 2:58 PM (b) (6) - QQC-C <(b) (6)@gsa.gov> wrote:
Good afternoon,

Thank you for the update, we're looking forward to seeing the next package iteration :)

Yours Truly,
(b) (6)
-
(b) (6) | FedRAMP Agency Reviewer
(b) (6) @gsa.gov

On Tue, Jan 18, 2022 at 12:08 PM (b) (6) <(b) (6)@issio.net> wrote:
Hello and happy new year!

I just wanted to give a quick update on the open items that I described in my previous message:

- (b) (4)
- (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)

As always, please let me know if you have any questions, and I will continue to provide updates as we progress

Best,
(b) (6)

On Fri, Dec 3, 2021 at 4:13 PM (b) (6) - QQC-C <(b) (6)@gsa.gov> wrote:
that is excellent to hear! We look forward to seeing it all :)

Yours Truly,
(b) (6)

—
Erika Ostergaard JD | FedRAMP Agency Reviewer
erika.ostergaard@gsa.gov

On Fri, Dec 3, 2021 at 4:07 PM (b) (6) <(b) (6)@issio.net> wrote:
Hello,

Thank you for checking in!

We have continued to work through the items that we addressed during our August meeting and that you outlined in your email after the meeting. At this point, we have resolved most of the items detailed in the meeting and in your email, but for quick reference, see the breakdown addressing the items listed in your post-meeting email below. (b) (4)

- (b) (4)
- (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)

- (b) (4)
- (b) (4)
 - (b) (4)

If you have questions about any of this, please let us know. We aim to have a complete package ready for resubmission as soon as possible!

Best,
(b) (6)

On Fri, Dec 3, 2021 at 2:21 PM (b) (6) - QQC-C <(b) (6)@gsa.gov> wrote:
Good morning Issio team,

I'm writing from the FedRAMP PMO about the Issio package. When we last talked, on Aug 31st, we had just concluded the Review Meeting, and gave some guidance on scans. We haven't heard from you in a while, and just wanted to check in to see how things are going. :)

Please respond to this email with any status updates you may have for us.
Yours Truly,
(b) (6)

—
(b) (6) | FedRAMP Agency Reviewer
(b) (6)@gsa.gov

TRANSP:OPAQUE
END:VEVENT
END:VCALENDAR

Embedded Attachments

(Double-click paper clip to extract file. Requires Adobe Acrobat Reader)

invite.ics

Date: Fri, 03 Jun 2022 12:45 20 -0700
Reply-to: Reply <d+AORGpRcgBziHmfV6DLISHdCzSG3EPwVNmgbyO9ewaghOMGsNSD-vGBj8yuAMyU2ZW_qc6hVlwuZkcY7f3T0qjKzCAwZ7fWui8DsvFsCrToQFBREAwwl_LvPDYPEUV188YsXY223iGcG@docs.google.com>
Message-ID: <i_owtEm8BrjhJashJAz0IA@notifications.google.com>
Subject: Issio 2nd Sub Wor... - also -- what about google authenticat...
From: (b) (6) - QQC-C (Google Docs)" <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
To: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 48d9af34b7e85fccdd9abb8dfac52c47

William Fanelli - QQC-C replied to a comment in the following document

Issio 2nd Sub Working Paper

1 comment

onfirm which CM is used for MFA verifier, and whether it is F PS

Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 1:35 PM, May 26 (EDT)
also -- what about google authenticator -- noted above. we can address either place. only need once

(b) (6) - QQC-C • 3:40 PM, Jun 3 (EDT) New
Per notes in report, FIPS not required for authenticators in LBL CSOs.

Open

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are a participant in this thread. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You can reply to this email to reply to the discussion.



Date: Mon, 06 Jun 2022 06:24:03 -0700
Reply-to: Reply <d+AORGpRfW5z0IDvOL4Z5baSlpYfrTGWVDIFEcX_uASEwliM3ZEaFws8X-r-FR-ZAWRuf1FT6TCuGd48YVde7G52zvsYz9JRsd6iDxtkZn9Tsj-4Ka1addWicl.4ByzGFCyKwqYoF7IEwD@docs.google.com>
Message-ID: <U_IZIWTBnjkuUASNIk5HEg@notifications.google.com>
Subject: Issio 2nd Sub Wor... - In general, i think CSP stuff can be ...
From: (b) (6) - QQC-C (Google Docs)" <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
To: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 86918705799ce26da3bcca72876ba320

Erika Ostergaard - QQC-C resolved a comment in the following document

Issio 2nd Sub Working Paper

1 resolved

Comments

Controls



Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 12:44 PM, May 26 (EDT)

In general, i think CSP stuff can be addressed as Post-FR auth actions. However, we need 3PAO clarifications in form of an attestation ltr PRE FR auth.

(b) (6)

QQC-C • 1:21 PM, May 26 (EDT)

awesome

(b) (6)

QQC-C • 9:19 AM, Jun 6 (EDT) New

Marked as resolved

Open

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are a participant in this thread. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You can reply to this email to reply to the discussion.



Date: Wed, 08 Jun 2022 04:48:29 -0700
Reply-to: No Reply <d+noreply@docs.google.com>
Message-ID: <wBp7RaK4IQXNGCjwBbEow@notifications.google.com>
Subject: Issio 2nd Sub Working Paper
From: (b) (6) - QQC-C (Google Docs)" <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
To: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 5c404450364012bc630509823ac85516

New activity in the following document

Issio 2nd Sub Working Paper



6 resolved

Suggestions



Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 6:50 AM, Jun 8 (EDT)

Add "and use of authenticator(s) and verifier"

(b) (6)

QQC-C • 7:43 AM, Jun 8 (EDT) New

Accepted suggestion

Reply

Open



Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 6:53 AM, Jun 8 (EDT)

Add "(3PAO actions to be performed during annual assessment)"

(b) (6)

QQC-C • 7:43 AM, Jun 8 (EDT) New

Accepted suggestion

Reply

Open



Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 6:41 AM, Jun 8 (EDT)

Add "Since Agency confirmed that they started using system post-initial assessment, v"

(b) (6)

QQC-C • 7:43 AM, Jun 8 (EDT) New

Accepted suggestion

Reply

Open



Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 6:42 AM, Jun 8 (EDT)

Replace "that the agency is actively using the environment and that there are" with "Agency"

(b) (6)

QQC-C • 7:43 AM, Jun 8 (EDT) New

Accepted suggestion

Reply

Open



Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 6:43 AM, Jun 8 (EDT)

Add "and are appropriately reflected in the SSP."

(b) (6)

QQC-C • 7:43 AM, Jun 8 (EDT) New

Accepted suggestion

Reply

Open



Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 6:49 AM, Jun 8 (EDT)

Add "are"

(b) (6)

QQC-C • 7:43 AM, Jun 8 (EDT) New

Accepted suggestion

Reply

Open

Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2022 13:45:55 -0700
Reply-to: Reply <s+AORGpRdbZYhIOohw67nLftDeZo4OvxwWl9lV6OPZbA880wrlqAEqRkN71hlBtz2r_ZRUEt-Hv9Z74Ssrc5296PrtiCflv3Zn5jfT0ZLXGzr1LLKTxuVOT_1kGfuFQ71kjqfueW2mzaUF4jdk8HzCnE27A2ocZfrjtBtBIWTe7rSqhW_ty7F80A@docs.google.com>
Message-ID: <YLLBmiRSLgh1LPZnGj0pw@notifications.google.com>
Subject: Issio GAP Agency ... - @erika.ostergaard@gsa.gov @william.fa...
From: "(b) (6)" - QQC-C (Google Sheets)" <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
To: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 78a23ddf1385da8c025d20526cbfa17d

Erika Ostergaard - QQC-C replied to a comment in the following document

 Issio GAP Agency Review Report 2021

 1 comment

I updated red text -- but make sure this addresses the concern re the O&E -- is this urs Erika?

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 4:02 PM, Jun 13 (EDT)
[@erika.ostergaard@gsa.gov](#) [@william.fanelli@gsa.gov](#)

(b) (6) - QQC-C • 4:40 PM, Jun 13 (EDT) New
I updated to specify: its not the doc itself, but what the doc says.

[Open](#)

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are a participant in this thread. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You can reply to this email to reply to the discussion.



Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2022 06:37:09 -0700
Reply-to: Reply <s+AORGpRtZougnI2bBM0pINGTasPWEkgOVNIsAozL1FHTpJnx9mydgz7GxY5BDJbxG4cDt_SxDmpjKP8nj4X0IPgleSzMX-xG1yKy5ikasP4E_p7njZ5z8VU-9cWg2ZO9RaZbeR4fv6nGGrBMfVlMFSTfcmRULK0_A8nd3_hSHZnH 6DB8gJfw@docs.google.com>
Message-ID: <qNC2pIOjy6Nd86JMSH2kmA@notifications.google.com>
Subject: Issio GAP Agency ... - @erika.ostergaard@gsa.gov @william.fa...
From: (b) (6) - QQC-C (Google Sheets)" <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
To: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 35fe83e9f6f0d304b62a8859055f0cd9

Erika Ostergaard - QQC-C replied to a comment in the following document


 Issio GAP Agency Review Report 2021

 1 comment

I updated red text -- but make sure this addresses the concern re the O&E -- is this urs Erika?

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 4:02 PM, Jun 13 (EDT)
[@erika.ostergaard@gsa.gov](#) [@william.fanelli@gsa.gov](#)

(b) (6) - QQC-C • 4:40 PM, Jun 13 (EDT)
I updated to specify: its not the doc itself, but what the doc says.

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 7:13 AM, Jun 14 (EDT)
OK but it still sounds weird --so you want 3pao to validate that the CSP is following its Policy for monitoring changes?

(b) (6) - QQC-C • 9:27 AM, Jun 14 (EDT) New
changed for that reassessment POV. hope that is clearer

[Open](#)

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are a participant in this thread. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You can reply to this email to reply to the discussion.

Google™

Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2022 14:00:13 -0700
Reply-to: Reply <s+AORGpRddHcRknlEv0tfez8OA5ajj3zv5V3BCA7yI5u7o9HpH3rZMN9qa9U-55ziWEdW8KjpOSV0XIO3gQc9sVBJ0cQaVAv3YFHvEljEJPR0mvQPnTg5AJAODfNmLtR3LUgJ9FF0kU9UlgYe75tWrbMCuCcO3jnzUwrjwUrOFSbUdtfKLRjbfk@docs.google.com>
Message-ID: <w3R9RMfL9YNdWlgQVwgrpA@notifications.google.com>
Subject: Issio GAP Agency ... - @william.fanelli@gsa.gov
From: (b) (6) - QQC-C (Google Sheets)* <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
To: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 28f1167e6005958cab433819b977a775

William Fanelli - QQC-C replied to a comment in the following document

 Issio GAP Agency Review Report 2021

 1 comment

above says Tenable as external service -- are they ambiguous -- showing it inside and as external?

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 3:01 PM, Jun 13 (EDT)
@william.fanelli@gsa.gov

(b) (6) - QQC-C • 4:55 PM, Jun 13 (EDT) New
It is clearly external. The question is whether it is the FR authorized version.

[Open](#)


Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA


You have received this email because you are a participant in this thread. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You can reply to this email to reply to the discussion.




Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2022 13:00:52 -0700
Reply-to: Reply <s+AORGpReDoRmwmlgcOsGg3Td2ahnnIY4KOG1YYyFo8m1Nlv-tQJeQomu2A3yNOcROIDnE_IPy_32mLWYAt8bWQ7zZvpITQnbqusVMvjgG tTAHYyDMTRSMj-DzjQtH4SofMVkitHrsuGY4bzqA9-3Lw7qXLZHvmR6eqWpP2iEUIcz0ECSNKwj60Y@docs.google.com>
Message-ID: <kD8s7JUfo-Da8OEWqqWs1A@notifications.google.com>
Subject: Issio GAP Agency ... - @william.fanelli@gsa.gov
From: "(b) (6)" - QQC-C (Google Sheets)" <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
To: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 52f1855b975bec1f4de390055f758744

William Fanelli - QQC-C replied to a comment in the following document

 Issio GAP Agency Review Report 2021

 1 comment

if they are doing employing DMARC, why action?

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 3:21 PM, Jun 13 (EDT)
[@william.fanelli@gsa.gov](mailto:william.fanelli@gsa.gov)

(b) (6)

 - QQC-C • 3:46 PM, Jun 13 (EDT) New
Good catch. No action. Just a note.

Open

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are a participant in this thread. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You can reply to this email to reply to the discussion.




Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2022 14:05:23 -0700
Reply-to: Reply <s+AORGpRfeb0kZLQ7dz9qN0BNnCHRaYZPbPxiWMwAOxqqpWvIS1E8MUp2wjXA-fz2-dPSYclhWW0059d6vvGsOJbHgQFfdPXuAvmxyG3WP8k3PMT7D7YczuD7HlIBrjs8c4LGja4owRfznQZnHAqH7pUNzEB3VLERe6RaVOWq8-Ji7BCLboQw0l@docs.google.com>
Message-ID: <QKB3mNrOcGXV5cl8THAh4Q@notifications.google.com>
Subject: Issio GAP Agency ... - @william.fanelli@gsa.gov
From: "(b) (6)" - QQC-C (Google Sheets)" <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
To: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 9b936d16c723465f75b81e847c924e13


William Fanelli - QQC-C replied to a comment in the following document

 Issio GAP Agency Review Report 2021

 1 comment

So, if this is LOW, is the it right to call it "sensitive" data which might be confusing to some? -- they got rid of the classification "Sensitive But Unclassified" for Controlled Unclassified info.....

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 2:52 PM, Jun 13 (EDT)
@william.fanelli@gsa.gov

 QQC-C • 5:00 PM, Jun 13 (EDT) New

Dropped 'sensitive'.
1Password, and ProductBoard are only ones needing action here. We can live with Cloudflare and ProductBoard. We address Tenable in C.11a.

[Open](#)

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are a participant in this thread. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You can reply to this email to reply to the discussion.



Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2022 05:40:40 -0700
Reply-to: No Reply <s+noreply@docs.google.com>
Message-ID: <Tthrd2InnMyE9d0WFu6XZA@notifications.google.com>
Subject: Issio GAP Agency Review Report 2021
From: "(b) (6)" - QQC-C (Google Sheets)" <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
To: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 3c691da62b8276c8c6dbd63d15bed1f1


New activity in the following document


 Issio GAP Agency Review Report 2021

 2 comments

Can we give some historical perspective on the attestations and testing? I think the 3PAO only re-assessed certain items, like PIV/CAC , other one-offs?

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 3:50 PM, Jun 13 (EDT)
[@cynthia.bergevin@gsa.gov](#) [@william.fanelli@gsa.gov](#)
Assigned to Cynthia Bergevin - QQC-C

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 7:37 AM, Jun 14 (EDT)
Actually -- i added a para. Pls see if you concur.

 - QQC-C • 8:30 AM, Jun 14 (EDT) New
Added paragraph clearly tells the story.

[Reply](#)


[Open](#)


So, if this is LOW, is the it right to call it "sensitive" data which might be confusing to some? -- they got rid of the classification "Sensitive But Unclassified" for Controlled Unclassified info....


 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 2:52 PM, Jun 13 (EDT)
[@william.fanelli@gsa.gov](#)

 - QQC-C • 5:00 PM, Jun 13 (EDT)
Dropped 'sensitive'.

1Password, and ProductBoard are only ones needing action here. We can live with Cloudflare and ProductBoard. We address Tenable in C.11a.

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 7:03 AM, Jun 14 (EDT)
i removed sensitive also from the "without" gov data list also. They transitioned to [tenable.io](#) -- which IS in the attestation from 3PAO. Then they do not list as FR auth'd ver in SSP?

 Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C • 7:07 AM, Jun 14 (EDT)
Can we just update to state Tenable io if you saw in ABD as such?

 - QQC-C • 8:25 AM, Jun 14 (EDT) New
I like the way you documented Tenable.

[Reply](#)

[Open](#)

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are a participant in the updated discussion threads. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You cannot reply to this email.

Google

From: (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov
Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2022 09:26:26 -0400
Message-ID: <CAHEOc4AQc8fcWpvOqh2v7cess6CHFcpye3yK4t7q=KJYUqcF+Q@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: Re [EXTERNAL] VA<PMO chat re Issio
To: "Solomon-Jackson, Charles L." <Charles.Solomon-Jackson@va.gov>
Cc: "DeLeo, Martin" <Martin.DeLeo@va.gov>, VA Cloud Collaboration <VACloudCollaboration@va.gov>, Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 1234f13f9fe225b854e986b8cda7fa4d

Brilliant I can send out the mtg invite for today at 330, so we have a hold for sure If I should change it to the tomorrow time for larger participation, please let me know
Yours Truly,
(b) (6)

(b) (6)
FedRAMP PMO Support (Noblis)
FedRAMP | ITS | GSA
(b) (6) @gsa.gov

On Mon, Jun 13, 2022 at 9:24 AM Solomon-Jackson, Charles L. <Charles.Solomon-Jackson@va.gov> wrote:

Today @ 330 or Tomorrow at 3pm works for me But I will lean on the team to confirm a time

Thank You

Charles Solomon-Jackson Jr. "SJ"
Security Architect, Cloud Lead
Enterprise Security Architecture
Office of Information Security
(b) (6) Mobile
Tour: M-F 6:00-230 PST

From: (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 6:18 AM
To: DeLeo, Martin <Martin.DeLeo@va.gov>; Solomon-Jackson, Charles L. <Charles.Solomon-Jackson@va.gov>; VA Cloud Collaboration <VACloudCollaboration@va.gov>
Cc: Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>; (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov; (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov; (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov; (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov
Subject: [EXTERNAL] VA<PMO chat re Issio

Good morning VA,

We've reviewed the latest Issio attestation letter, and are finalizing the report Before sending out, we'd like to have a last sync with you on expectations for post-FR authorization and the required Annual Assessment

Please let me know which of these times are best for you for a half hour call:

- 1 Today June 13th at 2, 230, 3, or 330pm ET
- 2 Tomorrow June 14th at 130, 2, 230, or 3pm ET

Thank you! We know this is a quick turnaround, but we hope to get them out pretty soon If you need to have it later we can find times

Yours Truly,
(b) (6)

(b) (6)
FedRAMP PMO Support (Noblis)
FedRAMP | ITS | GSA
(b) (6) @gsa.gov

From: (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2022 14:23:34 -0400
Message-ID: <CAHEOc4D7y0jschZQbDON69mZ6O0=w0U2zH93349KpPW1-r8C1w@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: Re [EXTERNAL] VA<PMO chat re Issio
To: "DeLeo, Martin" <Martin.DeLeo@va.gov>
Cc: "Solomon-Jackson, Charles L." <Charles.Solomon-Jackson@va.gov>, VA Cloud Collaboration <VACloudCollaboration@va.gov>, Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: c209cb5a6d0f3db3e64c7baa8c46d554

Brilliant, thank you for the update Martin
Yours Truly,
(b) (6)

(b) (6)
FedRAMP PMO Support (Noblis)
FedRAMP | ITS | GSA
(b) (6) @gsa.gov

On Tue, Jun 14, 2022 at 1:56 PM DeLeo, Martin <Martin.DeLeo@va.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon (b) (6)

We are meeting with ISSIO tomorrow to make sure that they are on track with the expected annual assessment. Also, we will be discussing the 3PAO attestation letter and full testing will be expected. We will follow up with an update.

Martin

From: (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 9:18 AM
To: DeLeo, Martin <Martin.DeLeo@va.gov>; Solomon-Jackson, Charles L. <Charles.Solomon-Jackson@va.gov>; VA Cloud Collaboration <VACloudCollaboration@va.gov>
Cc: Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>; (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>; (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>; (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>; (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] VA<PMO chat re:Issio

Good morning VA,

We've reviewed the latest Issio attestation letter, and are finalizing the report. Before sending out, we'd like to have a last sync with you on expectations for post-FR authorization and the required Annual Assessment.

Please let me know which of these times are best for you for a half hour call:

- 1 Today June 13th at 2, 230, 3, or 330pm ET
- 2 Tomorrow June 14th at 130, 2, 230, or 3pm ET

Thank you! We know this is a quick turnaround, but we hope to get them out pretty soon. If you need to have it later we can find times.

Yours Truly,
(b) (6)

(b) (6)
FedRAMP PMO Support (Noblis)
FedRAMP | ITS | GSA
(b) (6) @gsa.gov

From: "DeLeo, Martin" <Martin.DeLeo@va.gov>
To: (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>, "Solomon-Jackson, Charles L." <Charles.Solomon-Jackson@va.gov>, "Hasiotis, Costa (Innovative Management Concepts)" <Costa.Hasiotis@va.gov>, "Adedoyin, Adedamola A. (Innovative Management Concept)" <Adedamola.Adedoyin@va.gov>
CC: Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>, (b) (6) - QQC-C (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] VA<=>PMO chat re Issio
Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2022 19:51:37 +0000
Message-ID: <BLAPR09MB6388EFA317DABF61B6B48EB8F9AD9@BLAPR09MB6388 namprd09.prod.outlook.com>
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 9e0caef1dd050d3c5032b80d9c2e496f

Good afternoon (b) (6)

Do we try to get vendors back on the correct schedule for the 3PAO annual assessment and security artifact updates for vendors that were late (6 months late) with their 3PAO annual assessment? Or do we adjust the yearly schedule to fit their last 3PAO annual assessment? GRB fits in this category.

We try to make sure that vendors are aware of this schedule but unfortunately a few don't always meet this schedule.

Martin DeLeo
Enterprise Security Architecture
Office of Information Security (OIS)


(b) (6)
martin.deleo@va.gov
Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail is intended only for the person to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy the original message and all copies.

Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2022 06:33:25 -0400
Message-ID: <CADu0P4wGw-XthT72F6WLzD3yjkEF_cRk1Y+-peSNvdWDj0BvFg@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: **Re: Done with Issio report**
From: Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>
To: (b) (6) - QQC-C <(b) (6)@gsa.gov>
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 3103fad1d47bdf6b30646ee1c988455f


Thanks, (b) (6)

On Fri, Jun 10, 2022 at 3:19 PM (b) (6) - QQC-C <(b) (6)@gsa.gov> wrote:
Sending here because it would be gone by the time you check the chat

(b) (6)

--

FedRAMP PMO Support (Sage Consulting Group)
[FedRAMP](#) | [TTS](#) | [GSA](#)
m: (b) (6)
e: (b) (6)@gsa.gov

--



Jennifer Carlson
FedRAMP PMO Support (Noblis)
[FedRAMP](#) | [TTS](#) | [GSA](#)
m: (b) (6)
e: jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov

From: (b) (6) - QQC-C - (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
Date: Tue, 7 Jun 2022 18:51:10 -0400
Message-ID: <CAJx+P8TLEbDab5XGGTtq0cGD_7+QLSt4jV-oNviXkAhhC3bz-A@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: Re: New IRBNet Description
To: (b) (6) - QQC-C - (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
Cc: Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C - <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: df522f78f2b2e30cf41ebade5c381624

Hey (b) (6)

Thank you for the response. New In Process providers are being checked for marketing material when they submit their descriptions. IRBNet was before we started doing that so it was not caught before. If in reviews of descriptions, you/the team find it to contain Marketing verbiage, you can let us know and we can go back to them and discuss it being removed or can tie it into the description update when they become Authorized. I understand that in this case IRBNet is not Authorized yet but provided the new description anyways.

Regards,



FedRAMP

(b) (6)
FedRAMP PMO Support
[FedRAMP | TTS | GSA](#)
e: (b) (6) @gsa.gov
Upcoming OOO:

On Tue, Jun 7, 2022 at 3:02 PM (b) (6) - QQC-C - (b) (6) @gsa.gov wrote:
(b) (6)

We have a technical concern with IRBNet's current system description because it makes it sound like this MBL CSO meets many HBL requirements because it is hosted on AWS GovCloud. Here is what we asked for:
Marketplace description references this CSO being hosted on AWS GovCloud which meets the HBL
- AWS GovCloud can meet all of the HBL requirements
- Many of the HBL requirements have not been assessed to determine if this applies to this package

CSP Action: To be both accurate, and avoid confusion, please remove the reference to HBL from the service description.

The revised system description addressed our ask, and also updated some corporate ownership/management changes that occurred since it was first written.

We took no stance on the opening paragraphs. From a technical perspective, the content there was not in conflict with the SSP content. We defer to you for any changes you want to see.


Perhaps we should find a way to align our reviews so CSPs are spared a two-phase edit process.

Regards,
(b) (6)

On Tue, Jun 7, 2022 at 1:56 PM (b) (6) - QQC-C - (b) (6) @gsa.gov wrote:
Hi (b) (6)


IRBNet sent a new description for review and I have attached it here. Looking at it, I already believe most if not all of the first two paragraphs should be removed. Let me know what you think.

Regards,



FedRAMP

(b) (6)
FedRAMP PMO Support
[FedRAMP | TTS | GSA](#)
e: (b) (6) @gsa.gov
Upcoming OOO:



FedRAMP

(b) (6)
FedRAMP PMO Support (Sage Consulting Group)
[FedRAMP | TTS | GSA](#)
m: (b) (6)
e: (b) (6) @gsa.gov

From: (b) (6) - QQC-C - (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
Date: Tue, 7 Jun 2022 15:02:29 -0400
Message-ID: <CACqVxtEASRmEDmLVxOHej5-BODgxaWXx0Hxjq=QDo6kVIV+Bw@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: Re: New IRBNet Description
To: (b) (6) - QQC-C - (b) (6) @gsa.gov>
Cc: Jennifer Carlson - QQC-C - <jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov>
X-Source-Folder: GSA-2022-001178-jennifer.carlson@gsa.gov_0
X-Email-Hash-MD5: 896b64ac264ee5fa8991dd0c254d4f24

(b) (6)

We have a technical concern with IRBNet's current system description because it makes it sound like this MBL CSO meets many HBL requirements because it is hosted on AWS GovCloud. Here is what we asked for:

- Marketplace description references this CSO being hosted on AWS GovCloud which meets the HBL
- AWS GovCloud can meet all of the HBL requirements
- Many of the HBL requirements have not been assessed to determine if this applies to this package

CSP Action: To be both accurate, and avoid confusion, please remove the reference to HBL from the service description

The revised system description addressed our ask, and also updated some corporate ownership/management changes that occurred since it was first written

We took no stance on the opening paragraphs. From a technical perspective, the content there was not in conflict with the SSP content. We defer to you for any changes you want to see.

Perhaps we should find a way to align our reviews so CSPs are spared a two phase edit process

Regards,


(b) (6)

On Tue, Jun 7, 2022 at 1:56 PM (b) (6) - QQC-C - (b) (6) @gsa.gov> wrote:

Hi (b) (6)

IRBNet sent a new description for review and I have attached it here. Looking at it, I already believe most if not all of the first two paragraphs should be removed. Let me know what you think.


Regards,



FedRAMP

(b) (6)
FedRAMP PMO Support
[FedRAMP](#) | [TTS](#) | [GSA](#)
e: (b) (6) @gsa.gov
Upcoming OOO:

--



FedRAMP

(b) (6)
FedRAMP PMO Support (Sage Consulting Group)
[FedRAMP](#) | [TTS](#) | [GSA](#)
m: (b) (6)
e: (b) (6) @gsa.gov